

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_116326
Title of the Manuscript:	Seasonal incidence of insect pest and natural enemies in foxtail amaranthus and spinach and efficacy of dimethoate against insect-pests infesting leafy vegetables
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, because the manuscript highlights the importance of leafy vegetables in human diets, emphasizing their nutritional value and essential role in providing vital nutrients.</p> <p>Yes, the title is descriptive and informative.</p> <p>Yes, but please state what is “DAT” all about because somehow it might cause confusion to some readers.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes, but I have observed inconsistent with the use of 5 plants or five plants, I suggest to use five plants.</p> <p>Some specific studies are cited very well, but some of the references are more than a decade old. For example, the citations from Turner et al. (2011), Bharucha and Pretty (2010), Di Carlo et al. (1999), Bartlett and Eperjesi (2004), and Pandey <i>et al.</i>, 2006 could indicate that the information might be outdated.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, but please review some minor correction about the grammar.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Renan P. Octavio
Department, University & Country	University of Science and Technology of Southern Philippines, Philippines