

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_116098
Title of the Manuscript:	Molecular mechanisms and cytopathology of Phytophthora: Strategies, Interactions, and Future Perspectives
Type of the Article	Review

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes, the importance of a manuscript for the scientific community. Quality of the literature research included in the review article is up to mark.</p> <p>2. Yes, Relevance, clarity and effectiveness in conveying the essence of the article.</p> <p>3. Yes, it effectively summarizes the key elements including the objectives and conclusions.</p> <p>4. Yes, A well-structured manuscript typically includes clear headings and subheadings that logically divide the content into manageable sections.</p> <p>5. Yes.</p> <p>6. Sufficient references but additionally, the references should be up-to-date to ensure the article is grounded in the most current knowledge. Authors should ai to include recent literature to support their article.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1. Full form of the abbreviations should be provided. (Highlighted with Yellow Colour in manuscript.)</p> <p>2. Corrections in spelling and writing format. (Highlighted with Red Colour in manuscript.)</p> <p>3. References writing like et.al should be italic and same format. (Highlighted with Green Colour in manuscript.)</p> <p>4. Mentioning format for Figure in text should be uniform. (Highlighted with Purple Colour in manuscript.)</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mayank Yadav
Department, University & Country	Adarsh Vijendra Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Shobhit University, India