

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_115924
Title of the Manuscript:	GROWTH AND FLOWERING OF LILIUM (<i>Lilium longiflorum</i>) cv. EREMO AS AFFECTED BY CCC AND GA3 UNDER NATURALLY VENTILATED POLYHOUSE CONDITIONS OF PRAYAGRAJ
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The manuscript "GROWTH AND FLOWERING OF LILIUM (Lilium longiflorum) cv. EREMO AS AFFECTED BY CCC AND GA3 UNDER NATURALLY VENTILATED POLYHOUSE CONDITIONS OF PRAYAGRAJ "does not have a scientific structure and needs major revision and is not worth publishing in this journal.</p> <p>Please see some comments here:</p> <p>To start the abstract, it is better to write the importance of doing this research in one sentence. It is better not to use similar words in the title for the keywords section.</p> <p>Introduction section: The section related to Lilium is too long. About 9 sentences have started with this word, so it is better to be innovative in writing. Avoid repeating sentences and write sentences in a concise and useful way.</p> <p>The introduction part does not express well the importance of this research.</p> <p>The purpose of this research is not clearly stated.</p> <p>What do you mean by "Traditional plant growth promoters" and "artificial application"? What is the difference between "Traditional plant growth promoters" and "artificial application"? What is the importance of doing this research compared to previous research?</p> <p>The materials and methods section is not written correctly and clearly.</p> <p>At what growth stage of the plants, treatments were sprayed? How much of the treatments were sprayed on each plant? What was the way of watering the plants? What was the method of planting onions or plants?</p> <p>The results are not written poorly and with repetitive sentences.</p> <p>The discussion is written very weakly and to the extent of repeated sentences, and there is no mention of the mechanism of action of the treatments at all.</p> <p>The article needs to be rewritten and edited.</p> <p>The article is not written scientifically.</p> <p>The measured parameters are very limited to morphological traits.</p> <p>Some comments are also mentioned in the text of the article.</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 		
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hamideh Ghaffari
Department, University & Country	Slovak University of Agriculture, Slovakia