

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_115552
Title of the Manuscript:	Flood Risk Perception and Government's Role in Implementing Regulations
Type of the Article	Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes. The scientific community ought to be aware of this problem. Here, the article offers a scientific justification of all the perception elements that might affect how the government applies legislation on flood perception, backed up with calculations and evidence.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes. The article's main themes are all included in the abstract, and a crucial component aids in providing a clear and comprehensive overview of the work. Even if the abstract sums up the idea in just one paragraph, it is still important to entice readers to read the entire paper to learn what the study's intended conclusion should be and that dignity is maintained here.</p> <p>Yes it is well structured</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>The references taken were sufficient and recent.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>It is good enough for me.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There is some sentence and word repetition inside the same sentence, which causes a little disorientation • Some variables are shown to be repeatedly used. • Please include also: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ The map of your study area ○ Methodology flow diagram to show your study process. ○ Clear Indication about the sample population taken for the study. ○ Graphical representation of the regression models. ○ Correction in Sentences 	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Neenu S Pillai
Department, University & Country	Ideal Arts & Science College, Calicut University, India