

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Research in Crop Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJRCS_116276</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>MITIGATE THE IMPACT OF VARIOUS ABIOTIC STRESS BY USING GRAFTING IN TOMATO (SOLANUM LYCOPERSICUM L.) AND OTHER VEGETABLES: A COMPREHENSIVE REVIEW</b>
Type of the Article	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p><b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p><b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p><b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p><b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p><b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>Yes, the manuscript is important for the scientific community as it highlights the potential of grafting technology to enhance plant tolerance to various stressors and improve crop production and quality.</p> <p>Yes, it clearly describes the focus of the study.</p> <p>The abstract is not comprehensive as it failed to highlight the key findings and description of methodology. Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>The references provided in the manuscript are sufficient but additionally recent references including studies on specific vegetable crops and their response to grafting under abiotic stress conditions could have been included.</p>	
<p><b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>English quality is good but recheck once again for spelling mistakes.</p>	
<p><b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>K C Prakash</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Indian Institute of Plantation Management, India</b>