



**SDI EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM**

EDITORIAL COMMENT'S on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to editor's comments
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Let's start by noting that there is no clearly defined objective here. In other words: what do you want to add to knowledge?; what gaps does this research fill?; What theoretical or practical problems does it intend to solve or help solve? Without these questions, in whole or in part, being answered, all these mathematical developments presented here, even if brilliant, are nothing more than dilettantism.</li> <li>2. In order to indicate clues to resolve these questions, let's see, for example, the following sentences from the authors:</li> <li>3. -"Our results extend and generalize some well-known results of [5] and [11]"</li> <li>4. The question that can be asked here is what need the authors felt to generalize these results: Insufficiency to solve certain problems?; A guess to judiciously extend the theory?; Are you looking for a certain type of result?...</li> <li>5. -"We also provide an example that shows the usefulness of these contractions"</li> <li>6. They should refer to Example 3.7. However, this example does not reach the authors' objective. It is a mere problem of direct application. It is necessary to find something more substantial. And, if it can be in the scope of probability spaces, better because it would be more suitable for publishing in AJPAS.</li> <li>7. There is also clearly a lack of a Conclusions section, in which the authors critically examine their work, clearly identifying strengths and weaknesses, and to what extent the objectives were achieved (which is difficult to do if the objectives are not well defined...).</li> <li>8. I also think that merging sections 3. and 4. into a single section, although suggested by one of the reviewers, was not a good idea because it complicates readers' understanding, but, anyway, I don't insist on its reversal.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Thank you for your valuable comments and suggestions. Motivated by Fulga and Proca [7], we define the notion of dualistic E-contraction, generalized dualistic E-contraction, and Dass-Gupta dualistic rational E-contraction. We establish some fixed-point theorems for E-contraction, generalized dualistic E-contraction, and Dass-Gupta dualistic rational E-contraction in the framework of a DPM space.</li> <li>2. See example 3.7</li> <li>3. Our results extend and generalize some well-known results of [5] and [7]"</li> <li>4. E-contraction is more general than contraction. Currently working on the application, and the result given by me will help in solving the differential equation and boundary value problem.</li> <li>5. We also provide an example to support our results.</li> <li>6. Nil</li> <li>7. Our results merged from and generalized several existing results in the related literature. First of all, the main result of [5] is a consequence of our given Theorem 3.5 and Theorem 3.12.</li> <li>8. According to reviewer suggestions</li> </ol>