

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medical Principles and Clinical Practice
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMPCP_115972
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSING THE UPDATED ANESTHESIA PROTOCOLS FOR PATIENTS UNDERGOING FERTILITY PRESERVATION PROCEDURES LIKE OOCYTE RETRIEVAL AND OVARIAN TRANSPOSITION BEFORE CANCER TREATMENT
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>ASSESSMENT OF ANESTHESIA PROTOCOL FOR PATIENTS UNDERGOING FERTILITY PRESERVATION BEFORE CANCER TREATMENT</p> <p>Revision is required</p> <p>No</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Manuscript topic and data is good but lack in alignment and arrangement of data as per guidelines. Overall English quality is good.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1. Revise the title make it precise and informative.</p> <p>2. Revise abstract and make it structural. (150-200 words)</p> <p>3. Align keywords alphabetically and first letter should be capital.</p> <p>4. Add numbering to subsections as per journals guideline and template. Example Introduction. and so on....</p> <p>5. Revise conclusion. Conclusion doesn't show evident conclusive remarks</p> <p>6. Add some sections like competing interest, author's contribution, abbreviation.</p> <p>7. All headings looks like a sentence make it informative and precise.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)

Review Form 1.7

Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	
---	--	--

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ganesh D. Barkade
Department, University & Country	Dr. Vithalrao Vikhe Patil Foundation's College of Pharmacy, India