

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJCRS_115622 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | AGENESIS OF GALL BLADDER : A CASE REPORT |
| Type of the Article | |

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? - (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p> | <p>The manuscript is of scientific relevance as it should rise concern about possible explanations for a missing gallbladder pre or intraoperatively, possibly decreasing the morbidity in patients with such condition. The case and the condition are well presented but English grammar and style should be revised.</p> <p>-In the abstract conclusion, avoid speculation "is not always 100%"; instead please provide objective data on the procedure performance. -Adjust references to appear in correlative order. -Explain all acronyms presented in the text. -Contradiction in the amount (50% vs 35% in the introduction vs discussion) of asymptomatic patients. -Include MRI performance data on gallbladder visualization and possible gallbladder agenesis diagnosis. -Discussion can be enriched with other reports present in the literature. -Elaborate on differential diagnosis and diagnosis explaining patient symptoms if any. -Add ethics committee code of acceptance. -Consider changing the manuscript subsections for introduction, case presentation, discussion and conclusion</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p> | <p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> | |

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | Alexander Tristancho-Baro |
| Department, University & Country | Miguel Servet University Hospital, Spain |