

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Biotechnology and Genetic Engineering</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJBGE_116072</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Genome-wide repression of extrachromosomal circular DNA in Plants A-Review</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review</b>

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p><b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p><b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p><b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p><b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p><b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>Yes, the topic of the review is scientifically important. The manuscript under revision is not well organized, needs more recent information on the work of repression related to or with extra chromosomal circular DNA. The language needs to be more furbished in scientific temperament.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>The abstract needs to be more comprehensive and the objective and importance of the review is not clearly defined. What was the necessity of undergoing the review of the repression of extra chromosomal circular DNA? is not mentioned either in the abstract and nor in the conclusion section.</p> <p>The subsections and the structure needs to be re modified and reorganized in the sense that no numbering system is being considered to nomenclature the sections/categories or subsections /subcategories.</p> <p>Yes, Need to be organized in proper orientation with dedicated subsections and subcategories.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Additional Suggestions</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Formatting needs to be done.</li> <li>2. Re orientation of the subcategories</li> <li>3. Objective of the review needs to be defined</li> <li>4. More Figures needs to be incorporated</li> <li>5. Figure numbering is missing</li> <li>6. Tables and insert tables are missing</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>No, the language needs more refurbishment</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Generally the manuscripts are not written in proper format maintaining the highest standard of writing a review article. More figures needs to be incorporated. Tables regarding the techniques associated with extra chromosomal circular DNA needs to be incorporated. Objective of reviewing the extra chromosomal circular DNA with a plant prospective needs more elaboration with proper usage of the ECCDNA in different application related to the field of agriculture. Clear understanding the aspects of the ECCDNA in mammalian cells are not clearly written and the perspective of its study in mammalian culture is not mentioned.</p> <p>The author has to consider the aforementioned points before publishing it in a peer reviewed journal.</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Arup Ratan Biswas</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Techno India University, India</b>