

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_115610
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Retort Processing on the Microbiological, Sensory Evaluation and Physicochemical properties of Ready-To-Eat (RTE) Grilled Beef
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes, The current research is Interesting regarding to Retort processing for preserving food.</p> <p>No, it's better to revise, "Evaluation of the Effect of Retort Processing on the Microbiological, Sensory Assessment and Physicochemical properties of the Ready-To-Eat (RTE) Grilled Beef." Its better (RTE) abbreviation deleted from title.</p> <p>The abstract of the article is comprehensive but need revision. Please separate different section, for instance: objective, material &amp; method, results, conclusion.</p> <p>No, Please separate the discussion from results.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Most of the references are not update. Hence if it is possible, the author's would be added update references from 2021-2024. Please provide DOI for each article.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes it's good but need revise as a native in some sections.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>1. Please insert number page and line number.</p> <p>2. Please adjust abstract to 250 word count.</p> <p>3. Please provide suitable keywords from MESH? (<a href="http://www.nlm.nih.gov/mesh/MBrowser.htm">http://www.nlm.nih.gov/mesh/MBrowser.htm</a>) Please correct (TPC, yeast&amp; mould, <i>Ecoli</i>, coliform. <i>Salmonella</i> and <i>staph aureus</i>) in the abstract: TP is abbreviation of (...), complete the correct writing policy of the genus and species of <i>E. coli</i>, <i>S. aureus</i>, which type of coliform did you mean?</p> <p>4. Please detached the discussion from results, and compare your results to another studies, discuss about limitation and highlights of your studies in the discussion.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Farhad Moradi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>School of Medicine, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Iran</b>