

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_116074
Title of the Manuscript:	Traditional vs. Scientific Eri Silkworm Rearing: A Study in Bhubaneswar Zone
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The authors have done an extensive study on eri silk, and the parameters that affect the production and quality of silk in Bhubaneswar Zone. The article is useful for sericulture industry as the host plants and the production of silk is well described.</p> <p>Title is good.</p> <p>Abstract is comprehensive.</p> <p>The article seems to be very lengthy and there are few sections which repeat the same content especially in review of literature , methodology etc. I feel if the article is limited to the main idea of the title it will reach the scientific community and create interest among the readers.</p> <p>References are sufficient, but follow a correct pattern with punctuations</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The language is good.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The article seems to be like a review article. If the introduction, review of literature and discussion are concise and if it explains what their study is about, then the article will be more scientific. I request the authors to make a major revision and then submit the article.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	J.Vinoliya Josephine Mary
Department, University & Country	Holy Cross College, India