

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Cancer and Tumor International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JCTI_114517</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Role of Nano trace element in Prostate Cancer –an update</b>
Type of the Article	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li><b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li><b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p><b>Referee Report for Manuscript ID: Ms_JCTI_114517</b>  <b>Title:</b> Role of Nano trace element in Prostate Cancer –an update  <b>Summary:</b> The manuscript presents a comprehensive study investigating [The effect of nanomedicines on cancer treatment]. Overall, the study is well-conducted and makes a valuable contribution to the field. However, there are several areas that require attention before publication.  <b>Major Comments:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Clarity and Organization:</b> The manuscript is not clear in certain sections, especially in the introduction, and is incompletely addressed, and the objectives of the study are not clearly stated. The authors should consider restructuring these sections to improve readability and flow.  In section 3.2. Prostate cancer current diagnosis: before dealing with biomarkers, it would have been better to explain Table 1.</li> <li><b>Statistical Analysis:</b> The statistical methods used in the study need further clarification. Specifically, the rationale behind choosing certain statistical tests and their appropriateness for the data should be elaborated.</li> <li><b>Discussion:</b> The discussion section could be strengthened by providing more in-depth interpretation of the results in relation to existing literature. Additionally, the authors should highlight the significance of their findings and potential implications for future research.</li> </ol> <p><b>Minor Comments:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The shapes are of low quality.</li> </ol> <p><b>General Comments:</b> The manuscript would benefit from additional proofreading to address grammatical errors and typographical mistakes. In general, the article in question does not have overall coherence, and the purpose, results, and innovation of this study are not clearly stated.  <b>Recommendation:</b> I recommend reconsideration of the manuscript after the authors have addressed the major and minor concerns outlined above.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Mohammad Kazemifard</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Sari Agricultural and Natural Resources University, Iran</b>