

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAERI_114316
Title of the Manuscript:	ECONOMIC IMPORTANCE OF KENAF SEED AS ALTERNATIVE FEED RESOURCE IN MICRO LIVESTOCK PRODUCTION: A REVIEW
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The manuscript is of high value to the scientific community as it addresses the main issue in most developing countries of the diminishing food supply. The manuscript brings up a great perspective of using kenaf as possible feed alternative to reduce waste production and offers a sustainable approach for the livestock production industry. The manuscript also mentions potential cons of this approach, such as the anti-nutritional factors in kenaf seeds, while pointing to in-depth solutions to these issues.</p> <p>2. The title perfectly captures the article keywords and main points in a clear and concise method, which does not require further editing.</p> <p>3. The abstract provides a comprehensive summary of the topic. However, some information may not be necessary in the abstract and can be moved to the beginning of the introduction, such as the family kenaf belongs to and the type of crop kenafs are. Additionally, information such as the evaluated anti-nutritional factors and processing of kenaf could be briefly covered in this part to inform readers about the scope of topic this manuscript covers.</p> <p>4. The subsections and the manuscript structures are arranged appropriately and does not require further editing.</p> <p>5. The manuscript datas were written with scientific integrity and seems correct.</p> <p>6. Additional literature review and citation on the last two subsection of this manuscript could increase the reliability of the data. Most citations are from the 1990s to 2000s and it could be beneficial if the author insert more recent references. Additionally, the references section of this manuscript may be improved by making the citation method more consistence.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. Overall, the English quality of this manuscript is suitable for scholarly communications. However, I spot some minor grammatical mistakes that can be edited to give the manuscript a higher value.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>After careful consideration, the main spot for improvement in this manuscript is by increasing the citations for the information presented. Some statistics for the nutritional value of kenaf could benefit by adding standard deviation values from analysing more scientific research. Additionally, more figures could be added to the manuscript to illustrate the point to readers more clearly. Overall, the manuscript is very insightful and demonstrated clear dedication, thoroughness from the authors.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sunyapat Akkarajeerawat
Department, University & Country	Bangkok Christian College, Thailand