

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_114988
Title of the Manuscript:	Association analysis over seasons among morphological, physiological and yield components with kernel yield in maize (Zea mays L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The result of this study shall motivate scientists to carry out maize breeding of high-kernel producing variety, leading to food security stability among maize consumers. <p>2. Yes</p> <p>3. Abstract is adequate.</p> <p>4. Appropriate</p> <p>5. Very correct</p> <p>6. References are not sufficient, and some references are very old.</p> <p>7.</p> <p>Under title:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Capitalize each word except prepositions. The components in this case is designated as measurable parameters such as sizes of (leaves, cobs etc.). Therefore, the right word in this context is parameter. <p>Under Introduction:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Facts about maize with proper citations Check on your grammar and spellings errors Arrange your write up as, background facts, clear statement of the problem, proposed solutions of the problem scope of the study and study justification. Some sources of your information are too old, look for more recent knowledge. Dont be lazy to read please! <p>Under materials and methods:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Proof to the readers what informed your choice of selecting only five plants in the replicates – research is purely evident- based. Quality agro-inputs is one of the attributes of quality outputting, using 2 seeds of maize per hill is a sign of compromised quality. <p>Under results and discussions:</p> <p>Table intext cited, must immediately be followed by table inserted</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	1.Yes, it is suitable.	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Improve on your grammar and spelling errors throughout the document• Read more related literatures to fine-tune your work.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Opiyo Barnabas
Department, University & Country	Gulu University, Uganda