

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_114887
Title of the Manuscript:	The Role of Innovative Work Behavior as An Intervening Variable in the Relationship Between Transformational Leadership And Information Sharing On Employee Performance
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes, the manuscript is somewhat important for the scientific community.</p> <p>2. Yes, the title is suitable.</p> <p>3. The author may improve its abstract by including only the salient findings of the study and hypotheses with concise methodology. You may consider omitting the first introductory statement of the abstract, which has less relevance for the abstract.</p> <p>4. The author may consider improving its introduction by citing the actual problem scenario and establishing the literature gaps. Consider reviewing its introduction by including literature and studies that have a direct bearing on the study or are directly related to the variables of the topic. May no longer include definitions of terms in the introduction, but it is suggested to include only the relationship between these variables: innovative behavior, transformational leadership, information sharing, and employee performance.</p> <p>5. The manuscript is considered to be scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. The references are good in terms of recency and sufficiency.</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>May consider improving its grammar or English usage, especially in the conclusion section. Only include the salient conclusion statements.</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>The author may consider improving its abstract, introduction, and conclusion by including only the salient information as stated in the preceding statements.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ermie Lux L. Matildo
Department, University & Country	North Eastern Mindanao State University, Philippines