

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJEBA_114054</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>AUDIT QUALITY AND FINANCIAL REPORTING QUALITY IN SELECTED PUBLIC UNIVERSITY IN SOUTH WEST NIGERIA</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Scientific Papers</b>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. This research is quite important, investors can also consider university foundations to invest. This research discusses the education sector, and the sample is quite relevant using primary data</li> <li>2. The title must be made interesting so that readers will be interested or curious</li> <li>3. do we need the role of quality financial reports of public university</li> <li>4. it's complete</li> <li>5. already appropriate</li> <li>6. maybe the reference can only be under 5 years.</li> <li>7. The written text is correct, perhaps to check the authenticity of the data it is necessary to tabulate the primary data from the questionnaire results</li> <li>8. the books and journals used are good</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	It's good enough, though need to improve the grammar a little	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Fita Dwi Lestari</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Mercu Buana University, Indonesia</b>