

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_114733
Title of the Manuscript:	Unveiling the Essence of 'Doctorateness' in Ph.D. Mentorship: Navigating the Humanity Amidst Academic Pursuits in Academia.
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>The title of the article is suitable, author needs replace "Doctorateness" word with another existing and suitable word like doctorate quality or others and also remove the full stop sign in the title.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>There is need of a minor change in the subsection 2.1 on page no. 08. Author mentioned that case study 2 & 3. What about case study 1? Please clear this.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>1. Number of the references are sufficient, but most of the references are before year 2020 except two references; reference no. 1 & 2. Author needs to update the reference section. 2. There is need to review overall the reference section, because reference no. 13 & 14 are one instead of two references. 3. Author is suggested to follow "Author Guidelines" for uniform reference style in the article.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language quality is good, suitable and understandable.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The article will be accepted after including the above-mentioned minor suggestions.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Amit Kumar
Department, University & Country	India