

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Advances in Research</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AIR_114757</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>SURFACE AND SUBSURFACE STRUCTURAL MAPPING OF LOKOJA (SHEET 247), CENTRAL NIGERIA USING AIRBORNE MAGNETIC AND REMOTE SENSING DATA</b>
Type of the Article	

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>YES</p> <p>YES</p> <p>YES</p> <p>YES</p> <p>YES, WITH MODIFICATION AS SUGGESTED</p> <p>YES</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes, Basic language correction needed.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Reviewer appreciate the effort of the authors and article can be accepted after improving the geophysical interpretation part. No clear cut information provided on Structural inference based on geophysical data. Only vague assumptions were given. Lack of references to support the interpretation given in the text. Image quality to be improved. Reference to be in uniform format as per journal policy.</p> <p>The geophysical data deconvolution method is mentioned in the methodology but not given anything in the result/interpretation section which need to be included.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Sachin Kumar Tripathi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>India</b>