

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Microbiology Research Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_116327
Title of the Manuscript:	Native liquid biofertilizer consortia of Staphylococcus equorum, Bacillus velezensis and Staphylococcus epidermidis enhanced the growth of nutmeg seedlings
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, the manuscript appears to be important for the scientific community, particularly for researchers and practitioners in the fields of agriculture, microbiology, and sustainable farming practices.</p> <p>Yes, This title is suitable because it is quite informative and descriptive, as it clearly states the components of the biofertilizer consortia and their effect on nutmeg seedling growth.</p> <p>Not, Too Short near about 180 words without key findings.</p> <p>Yes, Overall, the manuscript's subsections and structure appear appropriate for a short research article. The information is well-organized, and each section fulfills its intended purpose effectively.</p> <p>Yes, the manuscript shows promise for publication with some improvements in specific sections. Yes, for short research 29 references sufficient and these are recently</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, Quality is suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Overall, the manuscript has potential for publication but would benefit from addressing the drawbacks and incorporating the suggested improvements.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tahir Mahmood
Department, University & Country	The Islamia University of Bahawalpur, Pakistan