

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_115914
Title of the Manuscript:	Study on the Challenges Encountered by Eri Silkworm Rearers in Tapioca Cultivation and Eri Rearing in Udalguri District of Assam
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Yes, the manuscript is important for scientific community. Sericulture and therefore obtaining natural silk with multiple uses in the textile industry is an extremely important initiative because natural silk fibre is one with multiple qualities which makes it desirable compared to artificial fibre. Supporting sericulture (through the measures outlined in this article) in disadvantaged areas of the world is extremely important and topical today more than ever, in order not to risk the disappearance of this industry with important social and economic implications on the populations involved in this activity.</li> <li>Yes, the title of the article is suitable.</li> <li>The abstract of the article well done and it is comprehensive.</li> <li>Yes, the structure and subsection of the manuscript are appropriate for a research article.</li> <li>Yes, I think the manuscript is scientifically correct.</li> <li>The references are sufficient (9) for a research article and recently (all of them is after the year 2010). One reference are not correct citation in bibliography or in text. I don't know where: Phukan's in text/ Phukan at references.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>	<p>The language quality are suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>I have made some corrections on the full article with the red colour (these corrections are related to form and not to content).</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Mihai- Iacob Bentea</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine from Cluj-Napoca, Romania</b>