

Studies on Sugarcane Smut Disease caused by *Sporisorium scitamineum* under Sub- tropical India

ABSTRACT

Sugarcane (*Saccharum spp.*) is an important commercial crop, cultivated across the world in more than 90 countries including India. Diseases in sugarcane are mainly caused by fungi, bacteria, ~~virusviruses~~, and phytoplasma. Apart from the biotic factors nutritional imbalance is also responsible for various diseases. The major fungal diseases of sugarcane are red rot, smut, and wilt. The Smut of sugarcane is caused by the fungus *Ustilago scitamineum*. The first report of the disease incidence came from Natal, South Africa in 1877. Severe smut infection affects the sugar recovery as well as yield loss ranging from 10 to 70 ~~per centpercent~~. The present study includes genotypes/varieties evaluation against smut disease (*Sporisorium scitamineum*) of sugarcane in ~~the~~ sub-tropical region of India. The experiments were conducted in field ~~condition-conditions~~ during 2022-2023. ~~Some-A~~ total of 71 genotypes, ~~were~~ maintained at ICAR-IISR, Lucknow. Three bud setts of each of the test genotypes were inoculated by dipping them in aqueous teliospores suspension (10^6 /ml) for 30 minutes. Based on disease incidence of each genotype, these were categorized in five class intervals i.e., 0-1 (R), 1-10(MR), 10-20(MS), 20-30(S) & >30 % (HS) smut infection. Out of 71 genotypes tested, ~~Forty five~~Forty-five (45) ~~genotype-genotypes~~ were rated as Resistant (R), Eight (8) genotypes were rated as Moderate Resistant (MR), Five (5) genotypes were rated as Moderate Susceptible (MS), and Ten (10) genotypes were rated as Susceptible (S) against smut disease of sugarcane. The genotypes rated resistant ~~against-to~~ smut of sugarcane can be exploited for ~~the~~ development of smut resistant variety of sugarcane whereas rated susceptible genotypes can be exploited as susceptible ~~check-checks~~ for screening against smut of sugarcane.

~~Key words~~Keywords: Smut, *Sporisorium scitamineum*, Sugarcane, evaluation and genotypes.

INTRODUCTION

Sugarcane smut disease caused by *Ustilago scitaminea*, is one of the most severe fungal diseases which causes ~~a~~ reduction in cane thickness, intermodal length, and ~~severalnumber of~~ millable canes resulting in ~~the~~ yield of the crop and affects sugarcane productivity and also leads to ~~a~~ significant decrease in sucrose content witnessing reduced sugar recovery. The first report of the disease incidence came from Natal, South Africa in 1877 as reported by [1]. All the ~~sugarcane-producing~~sugarcane-producing countries have developed protocols for the protection and control of this smut disease [2, 3]. Smut disease of sugarcane causes ~~a~~ loss in the yield of sugarcane in upcoming years, especially in dryland and perennial sugarcane [4, 5], and also known as “the death of sugarcane” [6]. The disease can be transmitted ~~with-by~~ the wind, and its teliospores can spread over a wide range and long distances [7]. A typical symptom of this disease is the development of a whip-like sorus from ~~the~~ top of the infected stalks. The infected cane

has a curled black whip that varies from a few to tens of centimeters [8]. The whip morphology differs from short to long, twisted, multiple whips, etc. This whip-like structure consists of fungal sori which are covered by a thin layer of the host tissue [9]. Once this thin layer is ruptured, the spores of the exposed sorus are spread by wind and rain [10], spread to other plants and result in a new disease if the environmental condition is favorable for the disease development.

Losses due to smut infection range from 30-40 % in plant crops and even up to 70 % in rations [11, 12]. In India, loss in yield due to smut infection is up to 50 % [13] and cane tonnage loss is recorded due to a reduced number of millable canes. James, [14] reported that in a susceptible variety, the smut incidence increases tenfold from plant crop to first rations-ration crop. This disease was responsible for the elimination of many high yielding-high-yielding varieties and major cause of varietal decline of varieties like Co 419, Co 1158, Co 740 and CoS 91269. In Japan, the use of varieties susceptible to smut disease, such as NCo310 and Ni9, caused high yield losses [15]. In China, ~~an~~ the average smut infection rate is over 10%, and can reach over 50% in some fields, causing billions of ~~economical-economic~~ loss every year [15]. Ratoon crops are more vulnerable to smut infection rather than plant cane. The yield loss of plant cane in China could reach up to 9%, while in ratoon ~~crop-crops~~ it could ~~be~~ up to 11% [17]. The smut disease was reported one of ~~the~~ important diseases in Australia causes significant losses in susceptible sugarcane varieties and could lose their yield up to 60% [18].

The best control practice is the use of resistant varieties [10, 19]. Sakaigaichia et al., [15] identified ~~the~~ first Japanese wild sugarcane with high resistance to smut disease and ~~contribute-contributed~~ to the improvement of ~~the~~ sugarcane breeding program in Japan. Currently, control of smut disease of sugarcane mainly relies on the breeding of resistant cultivars [20]. Disease-resistance breeding is the main way to control diseases in ~~crop-crops~~ [21]. The most effective method of managing the smut disease of sugarcane is via resistant varieties [22]. Cultivation of ~~sugarcane-resistant~~ sugarcane-resistant varieties is the most feasible strategy to combat the harms of this devastating disease Rajput et al., [23]. Therefore, the use of resistant varieties is believed to be a promising control method for the sugarcane smut disease [24]. Screening of varieties for ~~the~~ resistance to disease infection is one of the important aspects ~~for-of~~ the development of resistant varieties. The present study focuses on the evaluation of genotypes/ varieties against smut disease of sugarcane and ~~aimed-aims~~ to identify the resistant sugarcane varieties for smut disease caused by *S. scitamineum*.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted at ICAR-Indian Institute of Sugarcane Research, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh. ~~Sampling-The~~ sampling site is geographically located on ~~the~~ Northern gangetic plain of India between 26.51° North and 80.57° East. Freshly collected smutted whips were air dried by keeping under shade and teliospores were collected in butter paper bags and were stored in desiccators under anhydrous calcium chloride.

Pathogenicity test

Pathogenicity test for smut was performed with smut susceptible variety CoLk 7701. The three budded setts of CoLk 7701 were pre-soaked in smut teliospore suspension (spore load @ 10^6 spores/ ml) for a period of 30 min and planted in 3 rows of 5m length with ~~row to row~~ row-to-row spacing of 90 cm. The incidence of smut disease was recorded at fortnightly intervals with the first record at the time of whip emergence (around 45 days). The total number of ~~smut infected~~ smut-infected clumps was also recorded and it was found that the variety CoLk 7701 was highly susceptible and hence a good choice for pathogenicity testing. [25].

Evaluation of genotypes/germplasm/varieties against smut of sugarcane

An experiment with 71 ~~genotype~~ genotypes, maintained at the Indian Institute of Sugarcane Research, Lucknow, was conducted at different rating ~~scales~~ scales. Three budded setts of each of the test genotypes were inoculated by dipping them in aqueous teliospores suspension (10^6 /ml) for 30 minutes by following the technique given by Srinivasan [26] along with the respective checks/standards for resistant and susceptible categories in separate plot of size 13.5 m^2 (three row of five meter each) with row to row spacing of 90 cm. At a post-emergence stage, the crop was regularly observed for the emergence of smut whips from the tillers. ~~In~~ At the end of June, numbers of ~~smut affected~~ smut-affected clumps per plot were observed. Thereafter, the final ~~diseases~~ disease incidence was recorded before the harvest of the crop in the month of February. After the harvest of each ~~genotypes~~ genotype, the cane yield and average single cane weight were recorded. Based on the disease incidence of ~~each~~ each genotype, these were categorized ~~in~~ into five class intervals i.e., 0-1, 1-10, 10-20, 20-30 and >30 % smut incidence as suggested by Alexander [27]. (Table 1).

Table 1: Disease rating scale for smut disease of sugarcane

S. No.	% Infestation	Category
1.	0-1 %	Resistant(R)
2.	1-10 %	Moderately resistant(MR)
3.	10-20 %	Moderately susceptible(MS)
4.	20-30 %	Susceptible(S)
5.	Above 30%	Highly susceptible (HS)



Fig. 1: Sett treatment with smut teliospores suspension

Collection of smut whips and spores

Smutted whips and spores were collected from the ICAR-Indian Institute of Sugarcane Research Lucknow during ~~the month of~~ September, 2022 and studied for the variability amongst the *Sporisorium scitamineum* isolates. Fully developed smut whips were collected. Whips were spread out in a tray and maintained in a drying cabinet at room temperature for ten days. Spores were collected from whips by scraping with a plastic knife, and subsequent sieving through nylon net (1×1mm) to remove plant material. Spores were stored in airtight containers at 4°C for further experimentation. [25].



Fig. 2: Whip formation in ~~smut-infected~~ smut-infected sugarcane

Isolation of smut pathogen

Smut samples were collected from ~~the~~ experimental farm of ICAR- Indian Institute of Sugarcane Research, Lucknow, and scraped out from the infected portion in the laboratory under sterile condition. Collected spores were stored in sterilized airtight

containers. Spores were placed in Petri plates containing PDA medium under sterilized ~~condition conditions~~ and incubated for 5-6 days at 27°C [25].

Morphological characterization of *Sporisorium scitamineum*

Morphological studies of the isolates of smut pathogen ~~was-were~~ conducted to find out the size and shape of the spore. The sugarcane smut pathogen *Sporisorium scitamineum* was characterized through lacto phenol cotton blue wet mount and examined under a compound microscope at 40x and 100x. The fungal morphological characters like teliospores shape, ~~and~~ teliospores size (length and width) were also studied [28].

~~Radial~~ The radial growth rate of ~~host-pathogen~~ host-pathogen CoLk 7701 on PDA media at different temperatures

Smut isolate of CoLk 7701 was cultured on PDA agar plates to study the effect of different temperature conditions on ~~the~~ radial growth. Isolate of ~~host-pathogen~~ host-pathogen CoLk 7701 was used for the study. A 5 mm disc of 7 ~~days-day~~ old culture of smut pathogen was placed in the middle of the Petri plate containing PDA media and incubated at three different temperatures 25°C, 30°C and 35°C. The colony diameter of the fungus was recorded after 24 h, 48 h, 72h, 96h, 120h, 144h, and 168h of time interval as represented in ~~the~~ table 4.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Evaluation of different genotypes/ varieties

The study on genotypes/varieties evaluation against smut disease (*Sporisorium scitamineum*) of sugarcane in ~~the~~ sub-tropical region in India ~~had-been~~ was conducted under randomized block design in field ~~condition conditions~~ during 2022-2023 by following seed sett inoculation techniques for pathogen. The symptoms were easily recognized by the formation of a whip-like structure at the top of the sugarcane stalk. After the first symptom emerged, the number of the infected stalk was then recorded. ~~Sum-~~ A total of 71 genotypes were subjected ~~for-to~~ field experiments. The result revealed from ~~the~~ table 2 that out of 71 genotypes tested against smut of sugarcane, 45 genotype viz., LG 19006, LG 19100, LG 19043, LG 19171, LG 19005, LG 19063, LG 19109, LG 19123, LG 19025, LG 19039, LG 19036, LG 19066, LG 19087, LG 19142, LG 19037, CoLk 19201, CoLk 19202, CoLk 19203, Co 19016, CoPb 19211, CoPb 19212, CoPant 19221, CoS 19231, CoH 19261, CoS 17231, Co 19017, Co 19018, CoPb19182, CoPb 19213, CoPb 19214, CoPant 19222, CoS 19232, CoS 19233, CoS 19235, CoH 19262, CoPb 18213, CoS 18214, CoS 18231, CoS 18232, CoLk 17204, CoPant 17215, CoS 17234, CoS 17236, CoH17261 and CoH 17262 were rated as Resistant (R) genotypes against the smut of sugarcane disease. Eight (8) genotypes viz., LG 19101, LG 19003, LG 19015, CoPb 19181, CoPb 18181, CoLk 19204, CoPb 17215, and CoPb 17235 were rated as Moderate Resistant (MR) against the smut of sugarcane disease. Five (5) genotypes viz. LG 19165, LG 19033, CoLk 18202, CoS 19234, and CoS 18233 were rated as Moderate Susceptible (MS) against the smut of sugarcane disease. Ten (10) genotypes viz., LG 19107, LG 19103, LG 19158, LG 19096, LG 19049, LG 19104, LG 19097, CoS 17232, Co 18202 and Co 17018 were rated as Susceptible (S) against smut of sugarcane disease. The genotypes rated resistant against smut of sugarcane can be

exploited for [the](#) development of smut resistant variety of sugarcane whereas rated highly susceptible genotypes can be exploited as susceptible check for screening against smut of sugarcane.

Whip smut has the potential to cause substantial losses in susceptible sugarcane cultivars, therefore varieties under cultivation should be replaced with resistant sugarcane cultivars [23]. A study conducted by Sumedha Thushari [29] in Sri Lanka revealed that out of 455 entries artificially infested with *Sporisorium scitamineum*, 124 were found free from smut infection, including 86 hybrids, 16 of *Saccharum spontaneum* and 16 cultivars of *Erianthus arundinaceus*. Sakaigaichi et al., [15] studied to identify Japanese wild sugarcane accessions with high resistance to smut disease. Thirty wild sugarcane varieties and three sugarcane cultivars were tested. The results obtained from the inoculation tests aided in identifying wild sugarcane i.e., JW90, Iriomote8, and Iriomote15 with high resistance to smut disease. The highly resistant wild sugarcane accession had a much better impact on progeny distribution of smut resistance as compared to the susceptible accession. The study conducted by Hidayah et al., [24] revealed that out of 41 mutants, 11 of them appeared highly resistant when buds were exposed to smut pathogen *Sporisorium scitamineum* before planting.

Table 2: Evaluation of different genotypes/ varieties against smut disease of sugarcane under field [condition](#) conditions.

S. No.	Genotypes tested	Total no. of clumps observed	No. of Infected clumps				Maximum score on of out of four	% infection
			2 nd May	2 nd Jun.	2 nd Nov.	2 th Dec.		
1.	LG 19104	18	0	5	4	5	5	5.55
2.	LG 19096	16	1	5	5	3	5	6.25
3.	LG 19107	24	2	6	5	4	6	4.17
4.	LG 19039	14	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
5.	LG 19105	16	1	5	4	3	5	6.25
6.	LG 19097	7	0	4	4	4	4	14.28
7.	LG 19015	18	0	1	2	2	2	5.55
8.	LG 19025	6	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
9.	LG 19006	2	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
10.	LG 19100	3	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
11.	LG 19171	7	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
12.	LG 19049	3	0	3	3	2	3	33.33
13.	LG 19043	13	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
14.	LG 19136	13	0	5	4	4	5	7.69
15.	LG 19109	10	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
16.	LG 19123	9	0	0	0	0	0	0.0

17.	LG 19005	9	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
18.	LG 19017	6	1	5	5	3	5	16.67
19.	LG 19003	23	0	1	1	1	1	4.34
20.	LG 19101	24	1	1	1	1	1	4.16
21.	LG 19063	23	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
22.	LG 19103	12	3	5	4	4	5	8.33
23.	LG 19087	24	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
24.	LG 19165	8	1	0	1	2	2	12.5
25.	LG 19066	18	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
26.	LG 19142	7	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
27.	LG 19037	12	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
28.	LG 19158	2	1	2	2	1	2	50.0
29.	LG 19036	4	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
30.	LG 19033	16	1	3	2	3	3	6.25
31.	Co 17018	17	1	0	0	0	1	5.88
32.	CoLk 17204	22	2	3	2	3	3	4.54
33.	CoPb 17215	14	0	2	1	1	2	7.14
34.	CoPant 17233	24	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
35.	CoS 17234	14	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
36.	CoS 17235	26	1	1	2	2	2	3.84
37.	CoH 17261	9	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
38.	CoH 17262	7	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
39.	CoS 17236	8	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
40.	CoPb 18181	40	2	1	2	2	2	2.5
41.	CoS 17232	10	2	1	1	0	2	10.0
42.	CoLk 18202	18	2	3	4	4	4	5.55
43.	CoS 17231	14	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
44.	Co 18022	10	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
45.	Co 18234	35	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
46.	CoS 18231	11	0	1	0	1	1	9.09
47.	CoS 18232	31	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
48.	CoS 18233	10	1	1	1	1	1	10.0
49.	CoPb 18213	20	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
50.	Co 19016	23	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
51.	CoPb 19181	30	0	1	1	1	1	3.33
52.	CoLk 19201	9	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
53.	CoLk 19202	4	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
54.	CoLk 19203	8	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
55.	CoPb 19211	12	0	0	0	0	0	0.0

56.	CoPb 19212	1	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
57.	CoPant 19221	11	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
58.	CoS 19231	12	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
59.	CoH 19261	12	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
60.	Co 19017	13	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
61.	Co 19018	17	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
62.	CoPb 19182	13	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
63.	CoLk 19204	15	0	1	1	1	1	6.67
64.	CoPb 19213	31	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
65.	CoPb 19214	37	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
66.	CoPant 19222	19	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
67.	CoS 19232	14	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
68.	CoS 19233	15	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
69.	CoS 19234	17	0	2	2	1	2	5.88
70.	CoS 19235	14	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
71.	CoH 19262	17	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
*	CoLk 7701	18	0	0	0	1	1	34.00

*Standard check for smut of sugarcane

Morphological characterization of *Sporisorium scitamineum*

Sporisorium scitamineum spore width measurement studies revealed that Spherical shape of *Sporisorium scitamineum* was recorded with the isolates of the sugarcane host variety CoLk 7701 spore length of 1.52 μm and spore width of 0.44 μm was recorded (Table 3). Similar study was conducted by Singh et al., [25] for 10 test isolates of *Sporisorium scitamineum* and found great variation in spore length and width of the different test isolates. Maximum spore length of 1.58 μm and spore width of 0.45 μm was recorded and spherical shape of *Sporisorium scitamineum* was recorded in all the isolates. The existence of physiological specialization has been demonstrated by Alexander and Padmanaban, [30] and Amire et al., [31]. Classification of races of *U. scitaminea* was based on differences in spore morphology, germination characteristics, or pathogenic nature [32]. The pathogen develops systemically throughout the stalk, but teliospores are formed only in peripheral tissues of the whip-like structure. The fungus is capable of mutating and hybridizing in nature in order to produce new virulent pathogenic races [33].

Table 3: Morphological characterization of smut teliospores of CoLk 7701

S.No.	Isolate	Shape	Spore length (μm)	Spore width (μm)
1.	<i>Sporisorium scitamineum</i>	Spherical	1.52	0.44

Radial The radial growth rate of ~~host-pathogen~~ host-pathogen CoLk 7701 on PDA media at different temperatures

Optimization of physiological ~~condition-conditions~~ with special reference to temperature and variability of an isolate of CoLk 7701 was studied under a completely randomized block design with three replications. The results of an experiment conducted at 25°C, 30°C and 35°C ~~temperature-temperatures~~ (Table 4) revealed that isolates CoLk 7701 recorded the fastest growth rate attaining 1.1 cm maximum radial growth at 30°C followed a minimum of 0.6 cm at 30°C at 24 h duration. Whereas at full plate growth was recorded for temp 30°C at 120h. A similar kind of study was conducted by Singh et al., [25] and found that out of 10 isolates, A-4 recorded the fastest growing rate followed by the slowest growing ~~isolates-isolate~~ A-5 at 25°C temperature.

Table: 4 Colony growth rate of ~~host-pathogen~~ CoLk 7701 on PDA media at different temperatures

Temp.	Radial The radial mycelial growth rate of the colony in cm					
	24 h	48 h	72 h	96 h	120 h	144 h
25°C	1.0	1.8	2.7	3.5	4.1	4.5(F)
30°C	1.1	2.2	3.0	4.0	4.5(F)	-
35°C	0.6	1.3	2.0	3.1	3.9	4.5 (F)

The candidate manuscript does not have a robust scientific discussion, I suggest the authors incorporate the suggested paragraphs, in this way, it would improve the scientific quality of the manuscript.

The study on Sugarcane Smut Disease in sub-tropical India is of paramount scientific importance due to its focus on understanding and mitigating the impact of *Sporosorium scitamineum*, the causal agent of sugarcane smut. Moreover, the study's emphasis on the economic ramifications of sugarcane smut underscores its significance. Severe smut infections can lead to substantial losses in sugar recovery and yield, ranging from 10 to 70 percent. Quantifying these impacts highlights the urgent need for effective disease control measures to mitigate economic losses and ensure the sustainability of sugarcane cultivation. Additionally, by examining sugarcane smut within the context of sub-tropical India, the study addresses the regional specificity of disease dynamics [34].~~(Bertorelli and Olivares, 2020).~~

Indeed, environmental factors such as precipitation, temperature [35, 36]~~(Guevara et al. 2013; Casana and Olivares, 202019)~~, soil characteristics [37, 38]~~(Campos, 2023; Calero et al. 2022)~~, and agronomic practices play pivotal roles in shaping the prevalence and severity of sugarcane diseases [39, 40].~~(Hernandez et al. 2018a; Hernandez and Olivares, 2020)~~, including smut. Precipitation patterns influence moisture availability, impacting fungal spore germination and disease development [41, 42, 43]~~(Olivares et al. 2021a; 2021b; 2023a)~~. Temperature fluctuations affect both the sugarcane crop and the fungal pathogen, influencing their growth and activity [44, 45]~~(Olivares et al. 2023b; 2023c)~~. Soil properties influence pathogen persistence and spread, while agronomic practices directly impact crop health and susceptibility to diseases [46, 47, 48].~~(Araya-Alman et al. 2020; Olivares et al. 2022a; 2022b)~~.

Formatted: Font: Italic

[However, while environmental factors exert significant influence on sugarcane diseases, the scientific relevance of the study lies in its contribution to understanding the specific biology, epidemiology, and management of sugarcane smut in the context of sub-tropical India \[49, 50, 51, 52\].\(Hernandez et al. 2018b; Hernandez 2, 3, 4\). By elucidating the interactions between the pathogen, host plant, and local environment, the study provides essential insights for developing targeted disease management strategies tailored to the region's agricultural realities \[53, 54\]\(Cortez et al. 2016; Cortez et al. 2018\).. Thus, the study's scientific relevance extends beyond mere environmental influences to encompass a comprehensive understanding of disease dynamics and management strategies specific to sugarcane cultivation in sub-tropical India \[55, 56, 57\]. \(Rey et al. 2022; Rodriguez et al. 2015\).](#)

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

CONCLUSION

The genotypes/varieties rated resistant against smut disease of sugarcane can be exploited for [the](#) development of smut resistant variety of sugarcane whereas genotypes/varieties rated susceptible genotypes can be exploited as susceptible check for screening against smut disease of sugarcane. And the development of resistant varieties is the eco-friendly way to control the smut disease of sugarcane.

REFERENCES

1. Luthra JC, Suttar A, Sandhu SS. Experiments on the control of smut of sugarcane. Proceedings in Indian Academy of Sciences, Sec. B. 1940;12:118-128.
2. Sunder AR, Barnabas EL, Malathi P, Viswanathan R. A mini review on smut disease of sugarcane caused by *Sporisorium scitamineum*, in botany. J Mworia (Rijeka: InTech Publisher). 2012;pp.109-128.
3. Su Y, Wang Z, Xu L, Peng Q, Liu F, et al. Early selection for smut resistance in sugarcane using pathogen proliferation and changes in physiological and biochemical indices. Front Plant Sci. 2016;7:1133.
4. Bohui W. Current status and research progress of sugarcane diseases in China. Chinese Sugar. 2007;3:48-51.
5. Weihuai W, Rui L, Chunping H. Preliminary investigation on sugarcane diseases in Hainan Island. J Tropical Crops. 2007;28(4):112-116.
6. Li YR. Modern Agriculture Science. Beijing: China Agriculture Press; 2010.
7. Wenjie L, Wenfeng L, Yingkun H. Research progress in the occurrence and control of sugarcane smut. Chinese Sugar. 2008;3:64-66.

8. Weihuai W, Zujian X, Chungping H. Biological characteristics of smut of sugarcane smut and the effect of fungicides on its germination. *J Tropical Crops*. 2009;30(11):1674-1678.
9. Marques JPR, Hoy JW, Appezzato-da-Gloria B, Viveros AFG, Vieira MLC, Baisakh N. Sugarcane cell wall-associated defense responses to infection by *Sporisorium scitamineum* *Front. Plant Sci*. 2018;9:698.
10. Comstock JC. Smut. In P. Rott, R. A. Bailey, J. C. Comstock, B. J. Croft, & A. S. Sauntally (Eds.), *A Guide to Sugarcane Disease*. Montpellier: CIRAD Publications Service. 2000;181-185.
11. Kirtikar, Verma HS. A review on effect of sugarcane disease on yield and juice quality in U.P. *Indian Sugar*. 1962;12:103-108.
12. Sandhu SS, Mehan VK, Ram RS, Shani SS and Sharma JR. Screening of promising sugarcane varieties for resistance to smut by *Ustilago scitaminea* Syd. in the Punjab. *Indian Sugar*. 1975;25:423-426.
13. Viswanathan R, Rao GP. Disease Scenario and Management of Major Sugarcane Diseases in India. *Sugar Tech*. 2011;13(4): 336-353.
14. James GL Smut incidence survey in the Rhodesian Lowveld. *Proc S Afr Sug Technol Ass*. 1968;42:172.
15. Sakaigaichia T, Terajimab Y, Matsukac M, Ireid S, Fukuharae S, Mitsunagaf T, Tanakaf M, Tarumotoc Y, Terauchif T, Hattorig T, Ishikawaf S, Hayanog M. Evaluation of sugarcane smut resistance in wild sugarcane (*Saccharum spontaneum* L.) accessions collected in Japan. *Plant Production Science*. 2019;22(2): 327-332.
16. Shen WK, Jiang ZD, Deng HH, Liu R. Research progress on sugarcane smut disease and *Sporisorium scitamineum*. *Chin. J. Trop. Crop*. 2013;34:2063-2068.
17. Que Y, Xu L, Wu Q, Liu Y, Ling H, Liu Y, Zhang Y, Guo J, Su Y, Chen J, Wang S, Zhang C. Genome sequencing of *Sporisorium scitamineum* provides insights into the pathogenic mechanisms of sugarcane smut. *BMC Genom*. 2014;15:996.
18. Magarey R, Bull J, Sheahan T, Denney D. Yield losses caused by sugarcane smut in several crops in Queensland. *Proc. Aust. Soc. Sugar Cane Technol*. 2010;32:347-354.
19. Croft BJ, Braithwaite KS. Management of an incursion of sugarcane smut in Australia. *Australian Plant Pathologists*. 2006;35:113-122.

20. Shen WK, Deng HH, Li QW, Yang ZD, Jiang ZD. Evaluation of BC1 and BC2 from the crossing *Erianthus arundinaceus* with *Saccharum* for resistance to sugarcane smut caused by *Sporisorium scitamineum*. *Trop. Plant Pathol.* 2014;39:368–373. doi: 10.1590/S1982-56762014000500003.
21. Deng Q, Wu J, Chen J, Shen W. Physiological mechanisms of improved smut resistance in sugarcane through application of silicon. *Front. Plant Sci.* 2020;11:568130. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2020.568130.
22. Bhuiyan SA, Magarey RC, McNeil MD, Aitken KS. Sugarcane smut, caused by *Sporisorium scitamineum*, a major disease of Sugar cane: A Contemporary Review. *Phytopathology.* 2022;111:1905-1917.
23. Rajput MA, Rajput NA, Syed RN, Lodhi AM, Que Y. Sugarcane Smut: Current Knowledge and the Way Forward for Management. *Journal of Fungi.* 2021;7:1095. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jof7121095>
24. Hidayah N, Wijayanti KS, Murianingrum M, Yulianti T, Heliyanto B. Resistance evaluation of sugarcane mutants to *Sporisorium scitamineum*, the causal agent of sugarcane smut disease. *IOP Conf. Ser. Earth Environ. Sci.* 2021; 807:022094.
25. Singh R, Vandana P, Pallavi, Singh MR, Kumar S, Singh PK, Singh J, Singh D. Evaluation of New Sources of Resistance and Variability for Sugarcane Smut Disease. *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci.* 2020;9(10):3205-3215.
26. Srinivasan KY. Methods for testing the resistance of sugarcane disease 5. *Sugarcane Smut. Sugarcane Pathol. Newsl.* 1969;2:7.
27. Alexander KC. Studies on smut disease (*Ustilago scitaminea* Syd.) of sugarcane. Ph.D Thesis, Calcut Univ. India. 1975; pp.91.
28. Gaddeyya G, Niharika PS, Bharathi P, Kumar PKR. Isolation and identification of soil mycoflora in different crop fields at Salur Mandal. *Adv Appl Sci Res.* 2012;3:2020-2026.
29. Sumedha Thushari ANW, Wijesuriya A, Wijesuriya BW, Perera AMMS, De Costa DM. Identification of sugarcane germplasm in Sri Lanka for breeding of varieties resistant to smut disease (c.a. *Sporisorium scitamineum*). *Sugar Tech.* 2021; 23:1025–1036.
30. Alexander KC, Padmanaban P. Smut of sugarcane, In: *Plant diseases of international importance, Diseases of sugar, forest, and plantation crops.* Mukhopadhyay, A.N., Kumar, J. Chaube, H.S. and Singh, U.S. Englewood Cliffs, USA, Prentice Hall. 1992;4:1626.

31. Amire OA, Trione EJ, Schmitt RA. Characterization of pathogenic races of the sugarcane smut fungus by neutron activation analysis. *J. Radioanal. Chem.* 1982;75:195-203.
32. Sydow H. Notizen Uber Ushlagineen. *Ann. Mycol.* 1924;22:277.
- Waller JM. Sugarcane smut (*Sporisorium scitaminea*) in Kenya. II. Infection and resistance. *Trans British Mycol Soc.* 1970;54:405-14.
33. [Bertorelli, M., B.O. Olivares. 2020. Population fluctuation of Spodoptera frugiperda \(J.E. Smith\) \(Lepidoptera: Noctuidae\) in sorghum cultivation in Southern Anzoategui, Venezuela. Journal of Agriculture University of Puerto Rico., 104\(1\):1-16. <https://doi.org/10.46429/jaupr.v104i1.18283>](#)
34. [Guevara, E., Olivares, B., Oliveros, Y., López, L. 2013. Aplicación del índice de confort térmico como estimador del estrés calórico en la producción pecuaria de la Mesa de Guanipa, estado Anzoátegui. Revista Zootecnia Tropical. 31 \(3\): 209-223. <https://n9.cl/ovcu9>](#)
35. [Casana, S., Olivares, B. 2020. Evolution and trend of surface temperature and windspeed \(1994 - 2014\) at the Parque Nacional Doñana, Spain. Rev. Fac. Agron. \(LUZ\). 37\(1\):1-25. <https://n9.cl/c815e>](#)
36. [Campos, B. O. \(2023\). Banana Production in Venezuela: Novel Solutions to Productivity and Plant Health. Springer Nature. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-34475-6>](#)
37. [Calero, J., Olivares, B.O., Rey, J.C., Lobo, D., Landa, B.B., Gómez, J. A. \(2022\). Correlation of banana productivity levels and soil morphological properties using regularized optimal scaling regression. Catena.; 208: 105718. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2021.105718>](#)
38. [Hernandez, R., Olivares, B., Arias, A, Molina, JC., Pereira, Y. \(2020\). Eco-territorial adaptability of tomato crops for sustainable agricultural production in Carabobo, Venezuela. Idesia. 38\(2\):95-102. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4067/S071834292020000200095>](#)
39. [Hernández, R., Olivares, B. \(2020\). Application of multivariate techniques in the agricultural land's aptitude in Carabobo, Venezuela. Tropical and Subtropical Agroecosystems, 23\(2\):1-12. <https://n9.cl/zeedh>](#)
40. [Olivares B, Rey JC, Lobo D, Navas-Cortés JA, Gómez JA, Landa BB. \(2021a\). Fusarium Wilt of Bananas: A Review of Agro-Environmental Factors in the Venezuelan Production System Affecting Its Development. Agronomy, 11\(5\):986. <https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy11050986>](#)
41. [Olivares, B., Paredes, F., Rey, J., Lobo, D., Galvis-Causil, S. \(2021b\). The relationship between the normalized difference vegetation index, rainfall, and potential evapotranspiration in a banana plantation of Venezuela. SAINS TANAH - Journal of Soil Science and Agroclimatology, 18\(1\), 58-64. <http://dx.doi.org/10.20961/stjssa.v18i1.50379>](#)

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

Field Code Changed

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt, Portuguese (Brazil)

Formatted: Portuguese (Brazil)

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt, Portuguese (Brazil)

Formatted: Portuguese (Brazil)

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt, Portuguese (Brazil)

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt, Spanish (Spain, International Sort)

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

Formatted: English (United States)

43. Olivares Campos, B.O. (2023a). Evaluation of the Incidence of Banana Wilt and its Relationship with Soil Properties. In: *Banana Production in Venezuela. The Latin American Studies Book Series.* Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-34475-6_4
44. Olivares Campos, B.O. (2023b). Identification of the Main Soil Variables Correlated with Banana Productivity. In: *Banana Production in Venezuela. The Latin American Studies Book Series.* Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-34475-6_5
45. Olivares Campos, B.O. (2023c). Potential Use of Soil Morphological Properties to Differentiate Levels of Banana Productivity. In: *Banana Production in Venezuela. The Latin American Studies Book Series.* Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-34475-6_6
46. Araya-Alman, M., Olivares, B., Acevedo-Opazo, C. et al. (2020). Relationship Between Soil Properties and Banana Productivity in the Two Main Cultivation Areas in Venezuela. *J Soil Sci Plant Nutr.* 20 (3): 2512-2524. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42729-020-00317-8>
- ~~Campos, B. O. (2023). *Banana Production in Venezuela: Novel Solutions to Productivity and Plant Health.* Springer Nature. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-34475-6>~~
47. Calero, J., Olivares, B.O., Rey, J.C., Lobo, D., Landa, B.B., Gómez, J. A. (2022). ~~Correlation of banana productivity levels and soil morphological properties using regularized optimal scaling regression. *Catena.*; 208: 105718.~~ Olivares B, Rey JC, Lobo D, Navas-Cortés JA, Gómez JA, Landa BB. (2022b). Machine Learning and the New Sustainable Agriculture: Applications in Banana Production Systems of Venezuela. *Agricultural Research Updates.* 42, 133 - 157. Nova Science Publishers, Inc
48. Olivares B, Vega A, Calderón MAR, Rey JC, Lobo D, Gómez JA, Landa BB. (2022a). Identification of Soil Properties Associated with the Incidence of Banana Wilt Using Supervised Methods. *Plants.* 11(15):2070. <https://doi.org/10.3390/plants11152070>
49. Hernández, R; Olivares, B. Arias, A; Molina, JC., Pereira, Y. (2018a). Agroclimatic zoning of corn crop for sustainable agricultural production in Carabobo, Venezuela. *Revista Universitaria de Geografía.*, 27 (2): 139-159. <https://n9.cl/12m83>
50. Hernández, R; Olivares, B., Arias, A; Molina, JC., Pereira, Y. (2018b). Identification of potential agroclimatic zones for the production of onion (*Allium cepa* L.) in Carabobo, Venezuela. *Journal of the Selva Andina Biosphere.*, 6 (2): 70-82. http://www.scielo.org/bo/pdf/jsab/v6n2/v6n2_a03.pdf
51. Hernández, R. Olivares, B., (2019). Ecoterritorial sectorization for the sustainable agricultural production of potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) in Carabobo, Venezuela. *Agricultural Science and Technology.* 20(2): 339-354. https://doi.org/10.21930/rcta.vol20_num2_art:1462
52. Hernández, R; Pereira, Y; Molina, JC; Coelho, R; Olivares, B y Rodríguez, K. 2017. Calendario de siembra para las zonas agrícolas del estado Carabobo en la

Formatted: Spanish (Spain, International)

Formatted: Spanish (Spain, International Sort)

Formatted: Portuguese (Brazil)

Formatted: Portuguese (Brazil)

República Bolivariana de Venezuela. Sevilla, Spain, Editorial Universidad Internacional de Andalucía. 247 p. <https://n9.cl/sjbvk>

Formatted: Spanish (Spain, International)

53. de Andalucía. 247 p. <https://n9.cl/sjbvk>

54. Cortez, A., Olivares, B., Muñetones, A. y Casana, S. 2016. Strategic Elements of Organizational Knowledge Management for Innovation. Case: Agrometeorology Network. *Revista Digital de Investigación en Docencia Universitaria*. 10 (1): 68-81. <http://dx.doi.org/10.19083/ridu.10.446>

Formatted: English (United States)

55. Cortez, A., Olivares, B., Parra, R., Lobo, D., Rodríguez, M.F. y Rey, J.C. 2018. Description of meteorological drought events in localities of the central mountain range. *Venezuela.Ciencia, Ingenierías y Aplicaciones*. 1 (1):22-44. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.22206/cyap.2018.v1i1.pp23-45>.

Formatted: English (United States)

56. Rey, J.C.; Olivares, B.O.; Perichi, G.; Lobo, D. (2022). Relationship of Microbial Activity with Soil Properties in Banana Plantations in Venezuela. *Sustainability* 14, 13531. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su142013531>

Formatted: English (United States)

57. Rodríguez, M.F, Olivares, B., Cortez, A., Rey, J.C. and Lobo, D. (2015). Natural physical characterization of the indigenous community of Kashaama for the purposes of sustainable land management. *Acta Nova*. 7 (2):143-164. <https://n9.cl/hakdx>

Formatted: English (United States)

58. Rodríguez, M. F., Cortez, A., Olivares, B., Rey, J. C., Parra, R., & Lobo, D. 2013. Spatio-temporal analysis of precipitation in the state of Anzoátegui and its surroundings. *Agronomía Tropical*. 63(1-2), 57-65. <https://n9.cl/14iow>

Formatted: English (United States)

33. Casana, S., Olivares, B. 2020. Evolution and trend of surface temperature and windspeed (1994–2014) at the Parque Nacional Doñana, Spain. *Rev. Fac. Agron. (LUZ)*. 37(1):1-25. <https://n9.cl/c815e>

Formatted: Spanish (Spain, International)

UNDER PUBLICATION