

ReviewForm 1.7

JournalName:	JournalofExperimentalAgricultureInternational
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_JEAI_116203
TitleoftheManuscript:	StudiesonSugarcaneSmutDiseasecausedby SporosoriumscitamineumunderSub-tropicalIndia
TypeoftheArticle	

PART1:ReviewComments

	Reviewer'scomment	Author'scomment (ifagreedwithreviewer,correctthemanuscriptandhighlightthatpartinthe manuscript.Itis mandatorythatauthorsshouldwrite his/herfeedbackhere)
<p>Compulsory REVISIONcomments</p> <p>1. Isthe manuscript important forscientific community? (Please writefewsentenceson thismanuscript)</p> <p>2. Isthetitleofthearticlesuitable? (Ifnotpleasesuggest analternativetitle)</p> <p>3. Isthe abstract ofthearticlecomprehensive?</p> <p>4. Aresubsectionsandstructureof themanuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you thinkthemanuscriptisscientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Arethereferences sufficientandrecent?If you have suggestionofadditionalreferences,please mentioninthe review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart fromabove mentioned6 points, reviewersare freetoprovide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>I considerthatit isconvenienttopointouttheintentionandtheeffortthattheauthorsmake inwantingtomakethemanuscriptandbringan experiencetoa researchproduct,thatiscertainlyvaluableandrecognized.Ithinkitwouldbeaninterestingmodeltoimproveand establishoriginality.Yourworkis interesting,wellwritten,andorganized.However,there aresomecommentsshouldbeconsideredbeforepublishing,inthisway,thescientific qualityofthemanuscriptwouldbeimproved.Isuggesttheauthorsupdatethebibliography, manyofthecitationsaremorethan15yearsold,thereforeI suggestaddingrecent referenceswhichaddresstheissueinquestion.Suggestedcitationsareforgenuine scientificreasonsthatemphasizethecurrenttopicofstudyincontext.Authorsshould considerthecorrectionsuggestedbythereviewers.Inthiswaythepostulatedmanuscript wouldbereasonablypresented,fluentreading.</p>	
<p>MinorREVISIONcomments</p> <p>1. Islanguage/Englishquality of the articlesuitableforscholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/Generalcomments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Barlin Orlando Olivares
Department, University & Country	University of Cordoba, Spain