

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMCS_116164
Title of the Manuscript:	SEQUENTIALLY GENERATED MEASURABLY BOUND PRODUCTS
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The manuscript is important for the scientific community because it likely explores a specific concept in mathematical analysis related to products of measurable functions and their boundedness. This paper builds upon existing research on products of measurable sets, focusing on properties expressed as matrix products and It explores the connection between topological properties of measurable sets and boundedness of these products.</p> <p>2. The title "Sequentially Generated Measurably Bound Products" is accurate but not particularly catchy. It clearly reflects the content, but it might not grab the attention of researchers who aren't already familiar with this specific area of study. Here are some alternative titles that might be more engaging: - Boundedness of Sequentially Generated Products via ϵ-δ Criterion - Convergence of Measurable Products: A Neighborhood Approach - r-Neighborhoods and Boundedness in Sequential Products</p> <p>3. The abstract for "Sequentially Generated Measurably Bound Products" has some good elements but could be improved for comprehensiveness.</p> <p>4. it's likely appropriate for a scientific audience.</p> <p>5. The manuscript appears to be scientifically sound and well-written based on the information provided.</p> <p>6. The references also appear to be recent, with many sources published within the last five years. However, it would be beneficial to consider adding even more recent references to strengthen the research.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>It appears that the English quality is suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The conclusion should be written in such a way that every single word of it should be clearly understood. It should have discussed the finding, and uniqueness of the work, limitations of the research, and some future research directions.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Omar Mutab Alsalami
Department, University & Country	College of Engineering, Taif University, Saudi Arabia