

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ JABB_116137
Title of the Manuscript:	New approaches in dragon fruit Production, nutraceutical insights and morphological dynamics: A Review
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The manuscript is scientifically important for scientific community.</p> <p>2. The title should be Review of new approaches in dragon fruit production....</p> <p>3. Review work should have objectives and this objectives should reflect in the abstract. The first sentence in abstract should be the broad objective of the study, followed by the specific objectives, brief introduction on the study area and methodology. Then present in brief your main findings, followed by a statement of conclusion and recommendation.</p> <p>4. Subsections and structures are appropriate</p> <p>5. Scientifically, the manuscript is okay.</p> <p>6. References are sufficient and some are recent</p> <p>7. The author should make the following corrections: a. (Ratnala and Abd, 2027) not otherwise.. under Areas and Production b. Under morphology and physiology of dragon fruit.. Remove M in the reference c. Under yield and storage remove P. B. in the reference d. Under symptoms, in the reference write et al correctly and reconcile the date with the one in the reference list.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The English language used is okay for scholarly communication</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1. The conclusion should be (Conclusion and recommendations) as a title not only conclusion. Pls provide recommendations</p> <p>2. References: most of the references in the reference list were not cited in the body of the work. Some cited in the body of the work are not listed.. please check to reconcile</p> <p>3. The author should arrange his references in alphabetical order and use APA style for reference list.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rejoice James Mshelizah
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Agriculture, Nigeria