

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_116282</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Effect of sulphur and seaweed (<i>Kappaphycus alvarezii</i>) extract spray on growth and yield of groundnut</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li><b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li><b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The publication addressing the effects of Sulphur and Seaweed extract on groundnut growth and yield is significant for the scientific community, because of its unique approach, potential for sustainability, beneficial applications for agriculture, and contribution to scientific knowledge.</li> <li>The title you provided is precise and evocative.</li> <li>In an abstract, following points are often highlighted like background, objectives of research, methodology, results, conclusions and recommendations which make it comprehensive but in this paper background, objectives and recommendations are absent. I generally recommended include background, objective, and recommendations in an abstract if possible, it will provide readers with a well-rounded summary of the research.</li> <li>Subsection is well designed.</li> <li>Scientifically the manuscript is okay.</li> <li>The references provided in the manuscript are sufficient and recent, it would enhance the introduction to include citations for the mention of groundnut. Adding citations will help establish the credibility of the statements made and provide readers with additional resources for further exploration. Overall, this adjustment will strengthen the reliability of the manuscript.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	There are no grammatical faults when compiling the manuscript because the author used appropriate terms throughout.	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	After incorporating the suggested revisions, the manuscript will be ready for submission to the <i>International Journal of Plant and Soil Science</i> .	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Sidra Wajid</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Jhang, Pakistan</b>