

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_116282
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of sulphur and seaweed (<i>Kappaphycus alvarezii</i>) extract spray on growth and yield of groundnut
Type of the Article	Original Research Article
Date	16 April 2024

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>To certain extent</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>No</p> <p>The Author(s) could use more recent references</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The manuscript can not be recommended for publication for due to major problems.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The significance and problem of statement of study were not clarified in the Introduction. The author should provide this items. 2. The statistical analyses procedure was not mentioned in the materials and methods section. 3. The results presentation is not based on a standard manner. The statistical significance symbols were not signed in the results table. The table parameters in particular treatments attributes should be mentioned in abbreviations. 4. This sentences state the final results not conclusion 	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Seyed Mehdi Razavi
Department, University & Country	University of Mohaghegh Ardabili, Iran