

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Medical and Pharmaceutical Case Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJMPCR_115530
Title of the Manuscript:	Rare case of infective endocarditis due to Gemella morbillorum in patient with bicuspid aortic valve

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Numerous grammatical and spelling errors throughout the manuscript need to be fixed</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>This case report on a rare case of Gemella morbillorum infective endocarditis has several major shortcomings that need to be fixed before publication.</p> <p>1. The information presented in the introduction need to be referenced</p> <p>2. Incomplete clinical details: Key clinical details are missing, such as the patient's initial symptoms, timeline of events, additional diagnostic testing performed, and rationale for chosen antibiotics. More clinical context is needed.</p> <p>3. Limited discussion: The discussion section is very basic, lacking critical analysis of the case findings in the context of the existing literature. Potential limitations and areas of uncertainty are not adequately explored.</p> <p>4. Suboptimal data presentation: The figures are low quality images and several are redundant. Especially, the antibiogram data should be presented more clearly as a typed table text rather than an image.</p> <p>5. The referencing style needs to be improved by following scholarly recognized styles (APA,AMA, Vancouver, ect)</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Armel Landry Batchi-Bouyou
Department, University & Country	Washington University in St Louis, USA