

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_115886
Title of the Manuscript:	Cannabis Sativa Boosts Brain Functions and Ameliorate Anxiety, when used Sensibly and not Abusively
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes, it is important for scientific community as this manuscript gives an insight into uses and misuses of plants chemical in medical fields, in social communities. It also highlights the psychological and physiological effects of Cannabis onto human health. If used properly in a prescribed dose, it has an analgesic, anti-inflammatory properties.</p> <p>Yes, the title is suitable as it covers the major key discussion of the manuscript.</p> <p>It is comprehensive but it can also include or highlights the unlawful uses and effects of Cannabis on human health, if used abruptly or highly overdosed.</p> <p>The subsections and structure of manuscript is appropriate. It has covered all the possible points and experimental tests related to Cannabis mode of action in neurobehavioral aspects.</p> <p>Yes, it is scientifically correct.</p> <p>Yes, the references are sufficient and recent.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes, the language and the English quality is suitable as is can be easily understood by scholarly organizations.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Mala Trivedi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Amity Institute of Biotechnology, Amity University, India</b>