

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_CA_116300</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>An updated review on the therapeutic potential of stem cells for treating cardiovascular disorders.</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review article</b>

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p><b>Yes. In the current scenario, reports of incidence of CVDs are increasing rapidly owing to stressful, sedentary lifestyles in most countries including India. Prevalent conventional treatments are associated with many side effects and complications. Hence, as a suitable alternative, stem cell therapy, particularly exploiting MSCs(because of their regenerative potential) is a novel prospective, whose mode of administration, usage, utility is described and discussed in this article.</b></p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes, although some insights into future prospectives would be suggestive for better quality.</p> <p>More accurate yet descriptive subsections should be appropriate.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>A more detailed discussion on modes of application and future prospectives of the stem cell therapy w.r.t CVDs would have been preferred to make the article more relevant.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Shamayita Basu</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Usha Martin University, India</b>