

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_116113
Title of the Manuscript:	Veritas AI: The ChatGPT Polygraph
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1)Veritas AI holds considerable promise for the scientific community. Its capabilities in data analysis, pattern recognition, and predictive modeling can streamline research processes across various fields. In this manuscript advanced syntactic and semantic analysis, leveraging generative pre-trained transformers to identify linguistic cues indicative of deception.</p> <p>2) Yes title suitable (Short and crisp)</p> <p>3)Yes abstract of the article is comprehensive</p> <p>4)Yes subsections and structure of the manuscript are well defined and appropriate The author exhibit a high level of expertise in the subject matter, evidenced by the thoroughness of their analysis and interpretation.</p> <p>5)Yes manuscript is scientifically correct. Veritas AI algorithm represents a paradigm shift in lie detection technology, distinguished by its multifaceted approach that synergistically combines linguistic analysis with biometric data.</p> <p>6) Yes references are sufficient and recent.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Language and English in research article is quality and suitable for communications</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Senthil Kumar Seethapathy</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Sri Ramakrishna Mission Vidyalaya College of Arts and Science, Bharathiar University, India</b>