

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_115925
Title of the Manuscript:	Possible Initiatives to Protect Small Businesses in Wales, the United Kingdom due to Covid-19
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. 7. Methodology section 8. Discussion of findings <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The manuscript is important for scientific community, especially in continuously monitoring the small businesses' conditions, post Covid-19 era.</p> <p>Only a little revision is advised for the title, overall is good as it portrays the study's objectives.</p> <p>Abstract is comprehensible to readers where important measures starting from study's purpose to conclusion are briefly stated. Nicely done.</p> <p>The manuscript needs to be restructured according to the journal's formatting requirement. Appropriate numberings need to be assigned to each section and subsection to avoid confusions.</p> <p>Yes, manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>References are mostly recent since the context of the study covers recent event. The author could also possibly add few more references from AJEBA related to the study, if there is any.</p> <p>When doing the revision as per recommended, the author is advised to pay attention to the methodology section the most as it appears a bit confusing of what method is actually employed. Kindly refer to the comments in the manuscript.</p> <p>It is preferable if the author could provide more reliable and recent citations to support/oppose the findings of current study.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Yes, the English is suitable and decent despite having a little grammatical errors that need further attention.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>In summary, the revision of this manuscript requires the author to pay critical attention on the following matters:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Methodology 2. Headings & subheadings 3. Reference style 4. Discussion of findings <p>The studied topic is interesting especially when the after-effects of Covid-19 for small businesses are discussed and still can be considered as relevant even though the outbreak may not be getting the spotlight as before. The author is advised to revise the manuscript from the comments in this form as well as in the manuscript accordingly, while referring to the guidelines by AJEBA and other articles published in the journal from time to time to secure a more quality paper to be published. Good luck!</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nurul Muna Najiah Binti Sued
Department, University & Country	Universiti Utara Malaysia, Malaysia