

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_116041
Title of the Manuscript:	Provision of Guidance Services: Insights into Dynamics of cultural beliefs and values influence
Type of the Article	Article manuscript

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, the manuscript offers insights in the influence of cultural beliefs and values on the Provision of Guidance Services</p> <p>The topic is not clear and it also seems like it is incomplete "Provision of Guidance Services: Insights into Dynamics of cultural beliefs and values influence" I would suggest the topic to be "The influence of cultural beliefs and values on the Provision of Guidance Services" in the topic the researcher needs to specify the scope in terms of location of study and may be the category of the target population. Is it guidance services for all in the society or it is targeting a particular age group.</p> <p>The abstract lacks the purpose of the study, target population, data collection tools and sampling procedures among others.</p> <p>The subsections are appropriate however they can be enhanced by changing the questions into topics in reporting the findings.</p> <p>Yes, however the methodology needs to be enhanced. The methodology section is not clear in terms of the total target population from whom 8 participants were selected. A sample size of 8 is very small it may not be representative, but it is difficult to determine this because we have not been given the total target population. A very small sample size can result into subjective, bias and representation. * 8 Represent what percentage of target population?</p> <p>References are sufficient.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes the English language is clear and it is communicating</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Judy Mwangi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Mount Kenya University, Kenya</b>