

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_114503
Title of the Manuscript:	Effectiveness of Social media-WhatsApp for Dissemination of Improved Crop Production Technology
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>This is a fairly comprehensive assessment of the effectiveness of Whatsapp in disseminating agricultural scientific and technical knowledge. I appreciate the author's ideas.</p> <p>The article title matches the content mentioned by the author.</p> <p>The abstract describes the full content of the author's investigation.</p> <p>The survey data is quite reliable, however I think that the sample size of 50 is too small.</p> <p>The structure of the article is relatively appropriate, the RESULTS AND DISCUSSION section needs to be restructured to be more concise, focusing on reflecting the data mentioned in the Problem statement section.</p> <p>Appropriate references.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Good	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	This is a fairly comprehensive article, but it needs to be structured to be concise and accurate to the content that needs to be evaluated and analyzed.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tuan Anh, Tran
Department, University & Country	Institute for Regional Research and Development, Vietnam