

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_114238
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Gas Oil Ratio on Oil Production
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The manuscript aims to state the relationship between GOR and oil production which is an important issue in the industry. However, it just uses the data for one well. I think although the title is important, it is better to use as a master degree thesis not a paper. The title is acceptable. It is better to explain more about the conditions in the title, e.g. "The Effect of GOR on Oil Production in a Well Located on X". It is strongly suggested that state just the obtained results from Figures-2,3,4 and remove them as a reference in the abstract. Subsections and structure are suitable and it is recommended to explain more about the method in the "Methodology" section. The manuscript is correct scientifically. However, I think it is not sufficient to publish a paper with the data from only one well. The references are OK and they used the recent ones. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>They must use a unique language in English writing. They used different spells for a word in different places. Some examples are as below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> bottomhole, bottom hole, bottom-hole downhole, down hole gas-oil ratio, gas oil ratio wellhead, well head 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> we see that they use some references (such as Petrowiki or Oil and Gas Glossary) in the manuscript that did not mention in the "Reference" section. In some parts, they just mentioned the references by a number NOT the names. For example: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Studies by [3] and [4] ... As highlighted by [12] ... 	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ehsan Ghanaatpishah Senani
Department, University & Country	Iran