

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_116243
Title of the Manuscript:	AN ANALYSIS OF VISUAL FIELD DEFECTS IN PITUITARY MACROADENOMAS : A PROSPECTIVE STUDY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1.yes as pituitary adenoma is one of the most common cause of visual loss and infertility.it is more frequent than other causes of visual loss.</p> <p>2.yes</p> <p>3..NO plz elaborate liitle about pituitary adenoma and also elaborate the highlighted red by me as positive relation with adenoma size and visual field that how was that.better write findings of table 3 here for this explanation.</p> <p>4.yes</p> <p>5..yes</p> <p>6.No.. old references were there as new advancement and much more studies done for pituitary .so at least 15 -20 years old reference be quoted for this article will be good.</p> <p>Already written in general comment...highlited comment is important for prospective study.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>In introduction section highlighted the location of chiasm and tumor next to this plz write location as pre fixed ,post fixed chiasm and sizes with it as well surrounding extention with crossreference. Cross reference the highlighted last senetence of first paragraph of introduction.</p> <p>In introduction part in second paragraph plz add evaluation of pituitary adenoma as diagnostic point of view and also other gold standared methods of visual field testing.</p> <p>In introduction last paragraph aim why you choose this topic .let us know about the pituitary adenoma as alarming sign of visual field defect or visual field defect is alarming sign for the adenoma.explain this thing before aim so that one reading article should know about the diesaes significance.</p> <p>In methodology section the highlited fundus examination done by one person or two persons...and in all patients done by same person..Mention it to exclude bias.highlighted by me MRI tesla of this MRI and is open or close..further how frequent the fundus and visual field examination was done in these five years didn't show time fram..should be catagerized the fram and duration of examination as it is prospective study as well as radiological assesmnet in these time frame.</p> <p>In result section better describe the highlighted gender in percent wise.all should write in percent wise in bracket.</p> <p>Discussion is good</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Niqad Ahmad
Department, University & Country	NKBM, Pakistan