

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_115818
Title of the Manuscript:	Genetic Variability and Character Association Studies in Diverse Rice (<i>Oryza sativa</i> L.) Genotypes for Agro-Morphological Traits in Terai Region of West Bengal
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes indeed, I must commend the author for this great work. I must confess that I actually learnt a lot from the manuscript. Good job.</p> <p>2. Yes, it's pretty much a standard title</p> <p>3. Yes, however I have the following observations about the abstract - the meaning of kharif season should be added in parenthesis for a better understanding - the importance of the inference stated in the abstract should be added (i.e as in the last statement of the conclusion in the conclusion of the manuscript, 4.0). It would help to validate the study the more.</p> <p>4. Since the study is about the character association, the source of the rice genotypes should be listed alongside the name of the genotype in table 1. Also, rather than using a paragraph, the nine (9) agronomical traits observed should be listed for better referencing. The author should rewrite line 3 under statistical analysis (2.2)</p> <p>5. Very correct and sound</p> <p>6. The references are recent but is 74 references not too much?.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tomiloba Felix Ojo
Department, University & Country	Adekunle Ajasin University, Nigeria