

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_115654
Title of the Manuscript:	Unveiling the Rich Tapestry of Minor Fruit Crops: Cultivation Practices, Market Strategies, and Contributions to Agricultural Diversity and Sustainability
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Its informative as it tries to create awareness about some unrecognised crops of economic, food and nutrition security importance.</p> <p>2. The title is captivating though too lengthy. Removing the word crop and agriculture which is already implied may do justice</p> <p>3. The abstract does not provide the objectives nor methodology, conclusion and recommendation</p> <p>4. The manuscript does not carry the major structure of a paper which in this case should have been introduction, methods, results and discussion, conclusion and recommendation</p> <p>5. The manuscript contains useful insight about minor fruits though overly generalised and also lacks scientific basis.</p> <p>The author needs to look as the recommended format of the journal and also present the manuscript in a scientific manner</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	There are insignificant grammar/spelling errors	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	This would an interesting work but the author need to reorganise it into a manuscript format. In the current state, it's more of notes or a technical report	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Akongo Graceline Obong
Department, University & Country	National Agricultural Research Organisation, Uganda