

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JABB_113913 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | STUDY ON PREDICTOR VARIABLES FOR DIFFERENT DIMENSIONS OF MENTAL HEALTH AMONG MELAVOI VILLAGE OF COVID-19 AFFECTED YOUNG ADULTS LIVING IN ANANTAPURAM DISTRICT, ANDHRA PRADESH |
| Type of the Article | |

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p> | <p>1. Yes , because It shows the main psychological symptoms in an age group of patients carrying Covib-19.</p> <p>2. Not because it is too long, it implies more than 15 words, so I suggest the following title which does not affect the essence of the article:</p> <p>PREDICTOR VARIABLES OF MENTAL HEALTH IN YOUNG ADULTS AFFECTED BY COVID-19.</p> <p>3. No because the abstract of research articles is a complete summary or objective synthesis of the research that must reflect the structure of the content of the article, which is why it must present the following rhetorical pattern: introduction (I), method (M), results (R) and conclusion (C).</p> <p>4. No because, The summary of research articles is a complete summary or objective synthesis of the research that must reflect the structure of the content of the article, which is why it must present the following rhetorical pattern: introduction (I), method (M), results (R) and conclusion (C).</p> <p>5. Yes .</p> <p>6. No because the bibliographic references are insufficient for the number of tables and figures reflected in the research, and they are also not clearly delimited according to the standards.</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | Yes | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | <p>I believe that the scientific article, like any article, is still interesting and relevant, but it would be appropriate for the author or authors to carry out an exhaustive review of it to show its essence as well as take into account the rules for authors of the magazine so that after being duly reviewed can be published.</p> <p>I believe that the efforts of the authors in their creation should be valued, but it is important when creating or designing an article to take into account the design standards.</p> | |

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | Rafael Miranda Pedroso |
| Department, University & Country | Univrsidad De Ciencias Medicas Ernesto Guevara De La Serna, Cuba |