

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_113913
Title of the Manuscript:	STUDY ON PREDICTOR VARIABLES FOR DIFFERENT DIMENSIONS OF MENTAL HEALTH AMONG MELAVOI VILLAGE OF COVID-19 AFFECTED YOUNG ADULTS LIVING IN ANANTAPURAM DISTRICT, ANDHRA PRADESH
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The study tried to highlight the global impact of the Covid-19 pandemic on mental health, citing various studies that explore its effects on different demographics, including youths, students, and individuals with disabilities. The methodology section outlines a study focusing on predictor variables for mental health in young adults from a specific village in Andhra Pradesh, India, using a purposive random sampling technique. Despite these aims, The study is far from being a scientific publication. The methodology is inadequate. There is no assessment and discussion of the findings of the study. The article should be completely reorganized and rewritten. Main concerns could be outlined as follows;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. While introduction provides an overview of subject, it should be rewritten for condensation, improved organization, and standardized citation formats. The aim of the study, the gap it fills, the hypothesis of the study should be clearly declared. 2. Method section should be improved to include details such as sampling, setting, inclusion and exclusion criteria, ethical aspects, statistical analysis. 3. Discussion and result section should be separated. Detailed discussion and conclusion section should be organized. 	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lut Tamam
Department, University & Country	Cukurova University, Türkiye