

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_113913
Title of the Manuscript:	STUDY ON PREDICTOR VARIABLES FOR DIFFERENT DIMENSIONS OF MENTAL HEALTH AMONG MELAVOI VILLAGE OF COVID-19 AFFECTED YOUNG ADULTS LIVING IN ANANTAPURAM DISTRICT, ANDHRA PRADESH
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Yes, the manuscript is significantly important as it addresses the psychological impacts of COVID-19 on young adults, a demographic that has been uniquely affected by the pandemic. It offers valuable insights into mental health challenges and the potential predictors in a rural context, which is crucial for designing targeted interventions. The title is appropriate as it clearly reflects the study's focus and geographical specificity, ensuring relevance and clarity for readers interested in the mental health impacts of COVID-19. The abstract provides a succinct overview of the study's objectives, methods, key findings, and implications, making it comprehensive and informative. The manuscript's structure, including its subsections, is logically organized, facilitating easy navigation through the study's background, methodology, results, and conclusions. The methodology and analysis are scientifically sound, with clear justification for the chosen methods and a thorough analysis that supports the findings. The references are adequate and recent, covering relevant literature and providing a solid foundation for the study. No additional references are suggested at this time. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	The language is generally clear and appropriate for scholarly communication. However, minor editing for grammar and clarity could enhance its readability.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bala Bhargav Parvatham
Department, University & Country	Swansea University, United Kingdom