

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | International Neuropsychiatric Disease Journal |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_INDJ_116123 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Implication of Some Energy Drink Mixture with Flunitrazepam on Endurance Pattern and FCognitive/Motor Functions in Wistar rats. |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p> | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The manuscript is significant as it is focussing on the problems related to the people pursuing body building and fitness training as their career. They may opt for a good build in shorter duration which needs these supplements in high dose. Hence, a control on such products should be checked for efficient use. 2. The title is suitable. 3. Abstract is comprehensive and well describing the manuscript. 4. The subsections are appropriate. 5. The manuscript is absolutely correct scientifically. 6. The references are good. They have a good range. Well explored literature. <p>other: Units of the values in tables under results are not mentioned. Kindly check it.</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | There is no doubt in the language of the paper. It will be very well understood by the scholars when read. | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Name: | Anzar Bashir Alvi |
| Department, University & Country | AIIMS, India |