

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_116190
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Soil Micronutrients in Madagali Local Government Area of Adamawa State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, this research will provide the micronutrient status of the area on which it should be recommended for specified farming as well as research purposes.</p> <p>Yes, (or) you can go for - Assessment of Soil Micronutrients of Madagali Local Government Area of Adamawa State, Nigeria</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>No, The mismatched references should be corrected (the highlighted one)</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Satisfactory</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The quality of research should be improved along with the way of data presentation. The result of the paper May properly matched with the latest version of the book Soil, Plant and Water Analysis by P C Jaiswal 3rd edition 2018.</p> <p>I have examined the Research Article "Evaluation of Soil Micronutrients in Madagali Local Government Area of Adamawa State, Nigeria" And I have observed as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The article is a satisfactory piece of research and contributes to knowledge either by the discovery of new facts and their significance or by a new of facts. 2. The Article evinces the capacity of the candidate for critical examination and judgment. 3. The Article is satisfactory in so far as literary presentation is concerned. 4. The Article is suitable for publication. <p>I recommend that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Article should be accepted, after the following changes: - <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The mismatched references should be corrected (the highlighted one) <p>Rest Introduction, Materials & Methods, Results, Conclusion and Recommendations were found satisfactory</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pranav Raj
Department, University & Country	SHUATS, India