

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_115953</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Residual Soil Fertility and Yield of Okra as Affected by Bio-inoculants and Bio-organic Nutrient Sources</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p>-</p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>(Possible.)</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>No.</p> <p>(Possible.)</p>	
<p><b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	-	
<p><b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments</p>	<p>1. The background of <i>Pseudomonas lactis</i> and <i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i>, including the specific names "Beejamrit" and "Jeevamrit," should be given in more detail in the last paragraph of the introduction for the MS reason.</p> <p>2. All procedures and economic equations that are mentioned in any of the MS results (Tables 1, 2, and 3) must be written out in the Materials and Methods section.</p> <p>3. The N-P-K that is extracted for use by plants can be seen more clearly. Data on plant N-P-K may be inserted by the MS following those treatments.</p> <p>4. Given those treatments, why are "<i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i>" and "Jeevamrit" more successful in causing N-P-K in T16? Please have a discussion in the MS.</p> <p>5. Kindly make sure that tables 1, 2, and 3 have the post hoc test. Each data set's distinct statistics will be clearly analysed.</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Suravoot Yooyongwech</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Mahidol University, Thailand</b>