

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_116434
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluating little millet (<i>Panicum sumatrense</i> L.) based intercropping system on growth, yield and nutrient status under rainfed condition
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, it is an important for scientific community.</p> <p>It would be better to write title as 'Evaluating little millet (<i>Panicum sumatrense</i> L.) based intercropping system on growth, yield and nutrient status of soil under rainfed condition'</p> <p>It seems good.</p> <p>The subsections and structure of the manuscript looks appropriate, but please follow the journal guidelines while writing the subsections. Please add the missing information, as: acknowledgements and funding sources, ethical approval, competing interests, etc. in the article. Yes, it is scientifically correct, but needs some minor correction/revision on it.</p> <p>References are sufficient, but need to replace some references (old references) with current references/publications, if possible. Please follow the journal guidelines/format.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Its' fine, but need some improvement on it.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>There is a need to add some more information in introduction and discussion parts of the manuscript. Please compare the nutrient status of the soil before planting and after harvest the crop, it would help to justify the title related to soil nutrient status. Please, follow the referencing style of the journal. References style should be consistent. Also, revisit the manuscript and made necessary correction for improving the sentences. Please follow the journal guidelines/format.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anil Pokhrel
Department, University & Country	Nepal