

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>European Journal of Medicinal Plants</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_EJMP_114653</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>PHYTOCHEMICAL ANALYSIS OF SAP, ROOT AND LEAF EXTRACTS OF CALOTROPIS PROCERA FROM SELECT REGIONS IN THE GAMBIA</b>
Type of the Article	

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p><b>The potential impact on scientific community needs to be re-evaluated. The research explores the investigation of phytochemicals in <i>Calotropis procera</i> taken from three different places in the Gambia. The research reports the phytochemical constituents of the methanolic and dichloromethane.</b></p> <p><b>Yes.</b></p> <p><b>Yes.</b></p> <p><b>Yes.</b></p> <p><b>It has to be reconsidered.</b></p> <p><b>Nil</b></p> <p><b>In Page No. 7, the authors have mentioned "DCM is non-polar". It founds be ambiguity. The authors need to correct the sentence.</b></p> <p><b>The authors have displayed the presence of phytochemicals in the Table 1 &amp; 2. They have not mentioned about the instrumental techniques or methods employed by the authors to determine the Phytochemicals in them.</b></p> <p><b>Moreover, report on the presence of phytochemicals in <i>Calotropis procera</i> is widely reported. <i>Calotropis procera</i> is a poisonous plant, and the methanolic extract may also contain toxic compounds. Methanolic extracts of <i>Calotropis procera</i> are not regulated by the FDA or other regulatory agencies for medical use.</b></p> <p>These points are not explained in the research article.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>C. Manivannan</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>SRM University, India</b>