

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_116449
Title of the Manuscript:	Cybersecurity Considerations for Smart Bangladesh: Challenges and Solutions
Type of the Article	Review Paper

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes. This review paper deals with the challenges of Cyber security threats in Smart Bangladesh and the solutions to the challenges.</p> <p>Yes. The title of this review article is suitable to the paper content.</p> <p>Yes. The abstract of this review paper is comprehensively explain the context of the paper</p> <p>The structure of the review paper is good. The subsections are headed with suitable places and it deals the respective points according to the subsections titles.</p> <p>Yes. The points given by the author in this paper is scientifically correct and these points should be considerable by the appropriate policy makers of Bangladesh.</p> <p>All the references pointed by the author are sufficient and recent. The author use tow references from the year 2022 and four from the year 2022, etc. It is good enough.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language quality of this article is fine. It more enough as it is a review paper concern.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1. The review which the author did is very nice and the paper presents its review very clear to the readers.</p> <p>2. The suggestions which are given by the author are very fine tuned and necessary to overcome the threats of cyber security in Bangladesh.</p> <p>3. Over all, the review paper gives detailed explanation of cyber security threats in Bangladesh and gives the appropriate suggestions to its threats.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	V Karamchand Gandhi
Department, University & Country	Jesu College, India