

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_116449
Title of the Manuscript:	Cybersecurity Considerations for Smart Bangladesh: Challenges and Solutions
Type of the Article	

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1-In the wake of increasing cybercrimes, the article is relevant and accordingly important to the scientific community. Any country can prosper with the help of automation but frauds and cybercrimes are a byproduct of advancement. However, with determined efforts, it can be controlled.</p> <p>2-The title of the article is good. However, one alternative is suggested. Not recommended for change. <b>Comprehensive Cybersecurity, paving way for Smart Bangladesh</b></p> <p>3-Yes. the abstract of the article comprehensive. It has 262 words. If the word limit can be up-to 300 words, a bit more can be added.</p> <p>4-Subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</p> <p>5-Under '3.1 Core Characteristics of Smart Bangladesh' The vision has been mentioned. The actual state of affairs of the country, have not been given. Therefore, it is difficult to crosscheck the data.</p> <p>6-The references appear to be sufficient.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p><b>Some minor additions have been highlighted in red text. They may be incorporated</b> The language chosen is correct and well written.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The area chosen is very pertinent and most discussed in the current scenario of the world. It is, therefore, too vast and there is a scope to add much more. However, effort by the author is good.</p>	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Abha Mathur</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Satyawati College, University of Delhi, India</b>