

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_115996
Title of the Manuscript:	AMELIORATIVE HISTOLOGICAL EFFECT OF AQUEOUS NEEM LEAF EXTRACT ((Azadirachta indica) ON LEAD INDUCED NEUROTOXICITY OF LATERAL GENICULATE BODY OF ADULT WISTAR RATS.
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>yes</p> <p>yes</p> <p>yes</p> <p>No</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>No</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Review comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Abstract is too long, so reduce it. 2. Add the reference in crucial place of the introduction part in the manuscript. Some of the places there is no reference. So, kindly add it. 3. Page 2, line 15 and 16 need clarification? 4. Species name should be italics, follow throughout the manuscript. 5. Table 1 is not needed, and they should write as a paragraph. 6. Materials and methods are too difficult to understand and they suggested rewriting. 7. Why you have given the lead particle as oral suspension, and why they don't mix with feed? 8. All the paragraphs, images, and tables should be order properly, throughout the manuscript. 9. There is no objective found in the manuscript. 10. Introduction, results and discussion part should be improved. 	

Review Form 1.7

	<p>11. Introduction contained lot of points, write it shortly and crispy.</p> <p>12. The reference cited above in the manuscript was too difficult to find out.</p> <p>13. On what basis the lead and Neem concentrations were selected during the study period?</p> <p>14. What is the maximum concentration of lead were found human bodies, and how they affects other human organ systems?</p> <p>15. What is the mechanism of neem extract to reduce the lead effects in rats, during the study period?</p> <p>16. How you computed statistical analysis during the period?</p>	
--	--	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bala Mohan
Department, University & Country	Bharathiar University, India