

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_116156
Title of the Manuscript:	Analyzing the Impact of Exchange Rates on Trade Performance in Tanzania: A VECM Approach
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The author/s has much to do on the introduction. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. There is no link in the background to show how exchange rate has affected both export and import (trade performance) in Tanzania. ii. The background is just full of concept, there should be figures and chat to explain exchange rate and trade performance trend iii. There should be a stylize fact to show a trend on exchange rate has affected trade in the country to know whether there has been positive or negative trade performance in the country overtime. iv. There study doesn't have a problem, and without a problem, there is no gap or knowledge contribution. i.e., the author(s) should tell us what other researchers has done, what they did not do and what makes the study unique (the gap). Also the scope, the methodology and variables should be introduced in the introduction, all these will contribute to the gap of the study. v. The article lack theoretical literature. There is no theory/theories guiding the work. It also means that there is no theoretical framework in the study. That is too bad. It doesn't go well. A study such as these must contain a theoretical literature (theories on both exchange rate and international trade) and a theoretical framework. vi. Lastly, the author(s) should outline how other sections will follow in the introduction. 2. The title is very good and suitable. 3. No, the abstract do not portray the comprehensiveness of the article. It author(s) should reconstruct the article. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. The abstract do not need to tell us again what the background of the article is saying, since the topic has been written. ii. The abstract should also contain the scope of the study, very important iii. The abstract should also tell the reader the stationarity of each variable and also the co-integration relationship among the variables. The instrument used in the unit-root test and co-integration should be listed. iv. The conclusion and recommendation should be separated. v. The findings should be more explanatory. 4. The subsections and structure are appropriate, but lack some sections like the theoretical literature in section two, the equations of estimation techniques such as unit root, co-integration test and vector error correction mechanism in section three. 5. It will be scientifically correct if the author(s) should do the necessary corrections which a major. 6. The references are sufficient, but do not follow APA style if it is what the journal recommends, but if it is not, it is Ok. 	

Review Form 1.7

Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, quite suitable	
Optional/General comments	The corrections are major and should be attended to before acceptance for publication.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Umunna Godson Nwagu
Department, University & Country	University of Nigeria, Nigeria