

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Applied Chemistry Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJACR_116031
Title of the Manuscript:	OPTIMIZATION OF TANNIN'S EXTRACTION FROM CASHEW NUT TESTA FOR USE IN LEATHER TANNING
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Results was found very important for scientific community since using this organic tanning material the Cashew nut testa in tanning leathers reduces the use of hazard chemical</p> <p>Title of this research is suited to the objective</p> <p>Abstract is very comprehensive</p> <p>As a reviewer subsections and structure of the manuscripts is appropriate</p> <p>Only one thing was not mentioned in the whole manuscript the scientific name of cashew nut should be there especially in the Title and in the Abstract</p> <p>References are more than enough</p> <p>A follow-up study is recommended by comparing the quality of tanned leather using the Cashew nut testa and other organic tanning materials</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>English quality is suitable for scholarly communication</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The objective of this study/research was satisfactorily obtained</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Arturo O. Manipon</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Philippines</b>